

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/626,096   | 07/23/2003  | Seung-Jae Lee        | 2522-022                | 9000             |
| 7590 02/22/2005  MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 S.W. Morrison Street |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|  |             |                      | VU, DAVID               |                  |
| Portland, OR   |             |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUME     |                  |
|  |             |                      | 2818                    |                  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <del></del>  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/626,096  | LEE ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | DAVID VU  | 2818 ·   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed  lys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju   | <u>ıly 2003</u> .   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This   | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  | nce except for formal matters, pr   | rosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | 153 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-16</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | r election requirement.   | •  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2003 is/are: a)   | oxtimes accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to  | by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | caminer. Note the attached Offic  | e Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(   | a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio  | rity documents have been receiv   | ved in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | •   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not receive   | ved.   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summar   | • •  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal   | Date Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/01/04</u> .  | 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office   |   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2818

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-4 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chang et al. (US Pat. 6,180,454, herein after Chang).

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Chang (See ABSTRACT) disclose a method for forming an electrode, comprising: forming a polysilicon layer (second poly layer/poly 2/control gate) on a semiconductor substrate; forming an amorphous silicon capping layer on the polysilicon layer (col. 5, lines 34-36); and depositing a silicide layer on the capping layer (col. 6, lines 6-13).

Regarding claims 10, 12 and 15, Chang (See ABSTRACT) disclose a semiconductor memory device, comprising: a gate oxide layer formed on a semiconductor substrate; a floating gate electrode (first poly layer) formed on the gate oxide layer, an intergate dielectric layer (insulating layer/ONO) formed on the floating gate electrode; a polysilicon layer (second poly layer/poly 2/control gate) on a semiconductor substrate; an amorphous silicon capping layer on the polysilicon layer (col. 5, lines 34-36); and a silicide layer on the capping layer (col. 6, lines 6-13).

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding claims 2, 3, 11, 14 and 16, Chang disclose the silicide layer (tungsten silicide) is formed using a dichlorosilane (SiH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) gas (col. 6, lines 6-13), and wherein the capping layer (poly 2) is formed to have a thickness from about 1000-1400A (sufficient to prevent chlorine ions dissociated from the dichlorosilane (SiH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) gas from diffusing toward the polysilicon layer) (col. 6, lines 2-3)

Regarding the limitation "wherein the polysilicon layer is formed by crystallizing amorphous silicon" (claim 13) or "wherein the tungsten silicide layer is formed using dichlorosilane" (claim 15), such limitation does not further define the structure as instantly claimed, nor serve to distinguish over Hasegawa. Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw make clear.

Art Unit: 2818

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Chang (US Pat. 6,180,454) in view of Oka et al. (US Pat. 6,235,563, herein after Oka)

Regarding claims 5 and 9, Chang (See ABSTRACT) disclose a flash memory device involving the steps of forming a gate oxide layer formed on a semiconductor substrate; a floating gate electrode (first poly layer) formed on the gate oxide layer; an intergate dielectric layer (insulating layer/ONO) formed on the floating gate electrode; a polysilicon layer (second poly layer/poly 2/control gate) on a semiconductor substrate; an amorphous silicon capping layer on

Art Unit: 2818

the polysilicon layer (col. 5, lines 34-36); and a silicide layer on the capping layer (col. 6, lines 6-13).

Chang fails to disclose the polysilicon layer of the control gate (poly 2) is formed by an amorphous silicon layer then annealing the amorphous silicon layer to form a polysilicon layer. However, Oka teaches a polycrystalline film (polysilicon) with high purity has been obtained by performing solid phase recrystallization on an amorphous silicon film formed by annealing the amorphous silicon film in a nitrogen atmosphere (See ABSTRACT). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the annealing process of Oka in the method of Chang, because it would have provided the advantageous /benefit of forming a polycrystalline thin films having high polycrystalline quality, larger crystal grains and good crystal grain orientation.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Chang disclose the silicide layer (tungsten silicide) is formed using a dichlorosilane (SiH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) gas (col. 6, lines 6-13), and wherein the capping layer (poly 2) is formed to have a thickness from about 1000-1400A (col. 6, lines 2-3).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 3. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2818

### **Conclusion**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm. If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Vu

February 17, 2005.